

FLOVE PRESENTATION: INDEX

.METAPHYSICS.....	
.SCIENCE.....	245
.BIOLOGY.....	298
.LINGUISTICS.....	345
.PSICOSOCIAL.....	448

Past

Future



Micro

Macro

WHY

WHAT

HOW

Where do i came from?

Where am i going?

Who am i?



WE ARE

Past
MEMORY


Future
PREDICTION



WE RESEARCH


SIMPLEXIFY

...





RESEARCH METAPHYSICS



Cause
Effect

Cause
Effect

Effect ...

WHAT
WHY

HOW
WHAT

HOW ...

WHY Something instead of Nothing?

WHY Something instead of Nothing (**or Everything**) ?

HOW ?

WHY Something **instead** of Nothing (or everything) ?

WHAT ?

HOW Something and Nothing and Everything !

METAPHYSICS

FIELDS ?

WHY



WHAT...



HOW

METAPHYSICS FIELDS

WHY

WHAT why what how
TELEOLOGY ➡ The ONTOLOGY ➡ MATHYLOGIC ➡ Physics

HOW

METAPHYSICS

FIELDS

CONTINUUM
SIMPLE
STABLE
CERTAIN

DETERMINISM **WHY** Something instead of Nothing ?



COMPATIBILISM  **WHAT** TELEOLOGY  **why** THEOntoLOGY  **what** MATHYLOGIC  **how** Physics



FREE WILL
UNCERTAIN
DYNAMIC
COMPLEX
RUPTURE

HOW: Something **and** Nothing



**Commandments
& Sins**



Confluentism






**Bipolar
Cosmoginies**

METAPHYSICS

HOW: (META)MATHEMATICS •
(META)LOGIC

(META)MATHEMATICS , (META)LOGIC





METAMATHEMATICS + METALOGIC

≈

(META)MathyLogic

METAPHYSICS

METAMATHEMATICS • ÷ • METALOGIC

≈

MATHYLOGIC



PHYSICS



CHEMISTRY



...

METAPHYSIC S COMPATIBILISM

...

WHY...



WHAT thing started anything? (Does God exist?...)



HOW Something and Nothing and Everything !

DETERMINISM

God exists !

FREE WILL

God don't exist !

DETERMINISM

God exists !

FREE WILL

God don't exist !

W
E

C
A
N
,
T
So...
P
R
O
V
E

I
T

WHAT ?

WHY DETERMINISM

God exists !



WHAT **COMPATIBILISM** beliefs that DETERMINISM & FREE WILL are Compatible...



HOW FREE WILL

God don't exist !

METAPHYSICS

FIELDS

DETERMINISM



ONFLENTISM

COMPATIBILIST → TELEOLOGY → THEOLOGY → MATHYLOGIC → Physics... →




WILL

**COMPATIBILISM as the more Fundamental CONFLUENCE,
fractaled into all other Fields, starting by the Metaphysics ones
within their own fundamentals**

CONTINUUM
SIMPLE
STABLE
CERTAIN
DETERMINISM


C
O
M
P
A
T
I
B
I
L
I
T
Y

RUPTURE
COMPLEXITY
DYNAMISM
UNCERTAINTY
FREE WILL




I will explain this first next

REALITY




?




U N C E R T A I N


C E R T A I N



REALITY



U N C E R T A I N




C E R T A I N

Both ways are ok...

andbut one is more Really Realistic (!)


because it's much easier to explain

LESS Realistic



U N C E R T A I N

MORE Realistic



C E R T A I N

**We can't be fully Certain. No Perception can't be "Deterministic" while the Whole has to be
(Godel Systemic uncompleteness)
(The Measurement Problem)**


...

LESS Realistic



U N C E R T A I N

MORE Realistic




C E R T A I N


D E T E R M I N I S M

≈ ∨

D E S T I N I S M



C E R T A I N I T Y



U N C E R T A I N


O B J E C T I V I T Y



S U B J E C T I V E

C E R T A I N I T Y

PHILOSOPHERS closer to the center here below are the more CONFLUENTISTS..



SIMPLEX

Why

everything everytime becoming...

More COMPLEX

?

More COMPLEX = More PERFECT

?

“I don’t like to say anything is perfect cos i will worry too much about caring for it afterwards in case it is not being perfect anymore after” – Soren Kierkegaard

More COMPLEX = More PERFECT

?

YES! andbut NOT only!


**The WHOLE we live in has to be the more COMPLEX - PERFECT
andbut it could just be the more PERFECT if it's also the more SIMPLIFIABLE too**

**We come from a Micro that
is making Us more Complex**



We come from a Micro that
is making Us more Complex

And we will further Complexify things...



We come from a Micro that
is making Us more Complex

And we will further Complexify things...

While we won't reach to the Complexest




We come from a Micro that
is making Us more Complex

And we will further Complexify things...

While we won't reach to the Complexest


**Similarly, in the other way round,
We will SIMPLIFY things, andbut
We won't get into the SIMPLEST**



We come from a Micro that
is making Us more Complex

And we will further Complexify things...
While we won't reach to the Complexest

Similarly, in the other way round,
We will SIMPLIFY things, andbut
We won't get into the SIMPLEST



While just by further SIMPLIFYING, we could get into new more Complex MACROs

“Simplification is last step of sophistication (& first for big explanations)” – Leonardo Da Vinci (& me)

Within CONFLUENTISM,


We should consider another (Macro)Source that SIMPLIFY us




Within CONFLUENTISM,

We should consider another (Macro)Source that SIMPLIFY us

that we mimic through OUR SIMPLIFICATIONS





Example of a USEFUL SEMANTICAL SIMPLIFICATION:

WE-all are a Micro&Macro INFLUENCED-DETERMINED CONFLUENCE that CREATE
BIFLUENTLY COMPLEXIFY & SIMPLIFY in two opposite ways at the same time... (!)

COMPLEX
STABILITY

SIMPLE
STABILITY

OR...

?

SIMPLE
**DYNAMIS
M**


COMPLEX
**DYNAMIS
M**

COMPLEX
STABILITY

SIMPLE
STABILITY

SIMPLE
DYNAMIS
M

COMPLEX
DYNAMIS
M



SIMPLE ground for more STABLY fractaling the more complex varieties from it, implying:

We, very complex beings, tend to overlook fundamentals to be more simple, andso we mess

So.. we should rather focus in *“Always try (**falsifying**) simpler options first” -- Ockham (**and me**)*

COMPLEX
STABILITY

SIMPLE
STABILITY

SIMPLE
DYNAMIS
M

COMPLEX
DYNAMIS
M



Both ways are ok,
& i prefer this way
From little to more..

While this relation
order is very
dynamic...



Example:

COLUMNS 1, 2

1, 2

1, 2

...

Look more **STABLE-SIMPLE**

ROWS 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2...

Look more **DYNAMIC-COMPLEX**

more SIMPLE


WHAT could be the

and

RELATION thing?

more COMPLEX

SIMPLE
STABILITY



COMPLEX
DYNAMIS

RELATION
matters most than whatever words & order



more SIMPLE


WHAT could be the

and

RELATION thing?

more COMPLEX

SIMPLE
STABILITY



GOD
DRINKING

<

Normally...

SIMPLE
STABILITY



COMPLEX
DYNAMIS

RELATION

matters most than whatever words & order



SIMPLE

and = SIMPLEX but still too SIMPLE, right?

COMPLEX

SIMPLE

and = SIMPLEX, but too SIMPLE

important than = SIMPLEX, but too COMPLEX, right?

COMPLEX

SIMPLE

and

= SIMPLEX, but too SIMPLE

Equivalent while varied

= **More SIMPLEX**

more important than

= SIMPLEX, but too COMPLEX

COMPLEX

More SIMPLE


SIMPLE

Equivalent while **varied** bipole

COMPLEX

More COMPLEX





**(NOT
happening)**

Happening

More SIMPLE MICRO

SIMPLE

Equivalent

while varied bipole


COMPLEX


More COMPLEX MACRO


Happening


(NOT happening)

Deterministic (CONTINUUM)









(Deterministic)VARIED FRACTAL BIPOLAR HOLISM

is too wordy - complex!

More SIMPLE MICRO

SIMPLE

Equivalent while varied bipole


(Deterministic)
HOLISTIC – FRACTAL - ...
More **SIMPLEX** (Perfect,
while more tending to imperfect)
=

(InEvolutionary) **CONFLUENTISM**

COMPLEX

More COMPLEX MACRO

so I simplify it with this new word!



InEvolution

(SUPER)DETERMINIS
M

CONTINUUM


STABILITY

DYNAMISM

RUPTURE

ANTIDETERMINISM

Everytime god(dess) will me more proven,
somewhere else will be equivalently disproven



InEvolutionary CONFLUENTISM

D
E
T
E
R
M
I
N
I
S
M

MICRO

MICRO

MICRO

ATOM

...

PLANT

...

HUMAN



MACRO

MACRO

Micro EVOLVES its properties equivalently along the macro ones but the micro INVOLVES its presence because the bigger macro gets more of it

MACRO

InEvolutionary CONFLUENTISM

D
E
T
E
R.
M
I.
N
I
S
M

MICRO

MICRO

MICRO

ATOM

...

PLANT

...

HUMAN



MACRO

A

N

T

I

D

E

T

E

R

M

I


N

I

S

In a bigger macro, we look more capable, so our antideterministic perception gets bigger too

InEvolutionary CONFLUENTISM



In a bigger macro, we look more capable, so our antideterministic perception gets bigger, **while within a smaller micro, our indeterministic perception increases too**

Case: HUMAN InEvolutionary
THEOLOGY

MICRO

MICRO

D
E
T
E
R
M
I
N
I
S
M

MICRO

I N D E T
POLYTHEISM MONOTHEISM

E R

MODERNISM

M I N I S M

EARTH
MACRO

A N T I D E T E R M I N I S M
SUN
MACRO

UNIVERSE

MACRO

InEvolutionary CONFLUENTISM Simple Example


— X —

— X —
|


— X —

— O R O — P A R E C E —
|
P
L
A
T
N
O
E
S
|

InEvolutionary CONFLUENTISM Simple Example



InEvolutionary CONFLUENTISM Simple Example



Resume OF POPULAR METAPHYSICAL FLAWS within the ACHADEMIA



1- The ANTHROPIC PRINCIPLE Variants are a misnomer for TELEOLOGIES. CONFLUENTISM is a synonym (more direct & explanatory) for the STRONG PARTICIPATORY Variant, the Variant that is more bullied by the others.

2-FREEDOM and WILL are Rupturists-Specists (Flaw: Other matters are determined but not us... Andor: The system is undeterministic at its edges) and both are not rigorously evidenced and so buzzily defined with “Cognition – Consciousness - etc”. FREEDOM is used to bully DESTINY as FATALISM, with a strawman - false dilemma fallacy, instead of fitting FATALISM as the “Worst Possible Universe” at the Multiversal “Many Worlds Interpretation (Beyond the Standard Model)”.




3-FINE TUNING is bullied by The UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE is applied to TELEOLOGY which should rather apply to OBSERVATION. DETERMINISM is flawily used for REDUCTIONISM (“with infinite and chaotic ENTROPY”) despite the known MEASUREMENT PROBLEM and else UNDEFINABILITIES evidenced in all High Science fields. This forces the adding of “HYPOTHESIS” to TELEOLOGIES and “SUPER” to DETERMINISM. In a similar sense, both MISSING LINKS & UNPREDICTABILITY evidences from EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY are used for proposing RANDOM as fundamental-unique TELEOLOGICAL perspective, and “THEOLOGICAL” DETERMINISM (GOD) andor (HARD) INCOMPATIBILISM are rather used for justifying FATALIST Monotheisms out of it & their implicit Rupturist Specist Anthropological Historiography labeled as

TELEOLOGY: Last source - WHY (What underlying INTENTION) for this World


“Determinism – God – Fine tuning can’t be proven, andbut we can hipothize some intentions for it”




TELEOLOGY: WHY (What intention for) this fine tuning?



A serious and good philosophical work could be written consisting entirely of jokes.



TELEOLOGICAL INTENTION




DETERMINISTIC
CONTINUUM
STABLE

FREE
RUPTURE
DYNAMIC

COMPATIBILISM


Everytime god will me more proven, somewhere else will be equivalently disproven

DYNAMIC




CONTINUUM


COMPATIBILISM



COMPATIBILISTIC **TELEOLOGIES**



COMPATIBILISTIC TELEOLOGIES



BEST

LUCKY

TRAGIC


FINE


FATAL

COMIC

RANDOM

WORST






BEST

TRAGI

WORST

COMI




FREEDOM


DETERMINED

InEvolutionary TELEOLOGICAL CYCLE





APPLIED TELEOLOGY Examples



Additional values for
TELEOLOGIES
COMPATIBILISM

HIJACKED
EXCEPCIÓN
COMPLICATED
EXPERIMENTAL
INDETERMINISTIC

DETERMINISTIC

UNCOMPATIBILISTIC

FINE
STABLE
IDEAL
BENEVOLENT

COMPATIBILISM
PERFECT

FATAL
CHAOTIC
DEGRADING
MALICIOUS

ANTIDETERMINISTIC
RANDOM
TRIVIAL
ABANDONED
RESIDUAL

TELEOLOGIES
COMPATIBILISM

THEOLOGIES

HIJACKED
EXCEPCIÓN
COMPLICATED
EXPERIMENTAL
INDETERMINISTIC

PANDEISM

PANTHEISM

MONOTHEISM

DETERMINISTIC

UNCOMPATIBILISTIC

FINE

COMPATIBILISM

FATAL

STABLE

PERFECT

CHAOTIC

IDEAL

DEGRADING

BENEVOLENT

MALICIOUS

ATHEISM


ANTIDETERMINISTIC

RANDOM

TRIVIAL

ABANDONED

RESIDUAL



Because of not accepting other views that will complementarily emerge polarly along...



MONOTHEISM(S) F A T A L I S M S

ANTITHESIS FEELING MESSIAH

JUDAISM

RAGE

REFUGEE

CHRISTIANISM

SATAN

OLD TESTAMENT

RAGE

NEW TESTAMENT

MURDERED


ISLAMISM

NON-MUSLIMS

REVENGE

WARLORD

“FREE”



The popular Compatibilisms categories in the square corners, producing the four main the(le)ologies in the horizontal and diagonal lines

DETERMINISM

TELEOLOGY



COMPATIBILISM



THEOLOGY

.

...Catholic



DOCTRINE

WILL

Mandamientos y Pecados

En la **DEONTOLOGIA CRISTIANA**

PSICOSOCIAL

FALLA

No hay un orden consensual para los 10 Mandamientos
ni para los 7 Pecados.

PROPUESTA

Bipolaridades entre Mandamientos y Pecados (y mas retos)

CATÓLICOS (por mas sintéticos)

RESUMIDOS (por sintetizarlos aún mas)

EN ESPAÑOL (por historia personal cercana)

Mandamientos de DIOS

MALO

INTERNO

EXTERNO

Pecados de SATÁN

Amar a Dios

No banalizar a Dios

+ SOBERBIA

-

Amar a Dios

PEREZA -

No banalizar a Dios

+ SOBERBIA

No codiciar bienes ajenos

No robar

Amar a Dios
INTERNO
PEREZA -

EXTERNO


No banalizar a Dios


+ **SOBERBIA**


ENVIDIA


AVARICIA

Continuo con los otros vértices del rectángulo por facil escala (hypo-hypérnimo) y polaridad (antónimo) y para que se entiendan mejor los siguientes, que van a ser los enlaces (!) entre los 4 vértices de los 2 rectángulos











Como solo hay 7 Pecados, falta(ria) uno para completar las polaridades del cuadrado con los 8 mandamientos expuestos (donde aún faltan el 3 y 4...)

ADICIONES

- Nuevo Pecado
- 7 Virtudes Capitales
- 2 nuevos Mandamientos y Pecados y Virtudes polares
- 4 ejes-meme de Claudio Cipolla
- Nuevas Virtudes en triángulos y Pecados polares
- Versión callejera
- Integración de otros valores: Caso: Insultos, Jerga, ...



Creado un nuevo (muy lógico) pecado, para completar el cuadrado



(Bi)Secuencia de las 7 Virtudes, +1 nueva para el nuevo Pecado “Mentira”, con propuesta de linealidad de abajo (dentro) a arriba (afuera) para Mandamientos y Pecados.

No codiciar bienes ajenos
No cometer actos impuros

+

No robar

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios

No banalizar a Dios HUMILDAD

PEREZA -

MENTIRA

+ SOBERBIA

ENVIDIA

I

AVARICIA

-

No codiciar bienes ajenos
No cometer actos impuros

No robar

HONESTIDAD

CASTIDAD

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios

No banalizar a Dios HUMILDAD

PEREZA -

MENTIRA

+ SOBERBIA

ENVIDIA

AVARICIA

-

CARIDAD

GENEROSIDAD

No codiciar bienes ajenos
No cometer
actos impuros

No robar

HONESTIDAD

CASTIDAD

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios

No banalizar a Dios HUMILDAD

PEREZA -

MENTIRA

+ SOBERBIA

ENVIDIA

AVARICIA

-

No codiciar bienes ajenos

No robar

No cometer

actos impuros

Amar a Dios
Honrar a padres
Sanctificar fiestas
PEREZA

No envidiar a Dios
No envidiar a los hombres
SOBERBIA

A

R

I

A

LUJURIA

ENVIDIA

AVARICIA

Adición de los 2 Mandamientos que faltaban en el eje horizontal

No codiciar bienes ajenos

No robar

No cometer

actos impuros

???

Amar a Dios

Honrar a padres

Sanctificar fiestas

No amar a Dios

SOBERBIA

A

R

???

???

A

LUJURIA

ENVIDIA

AVARICIA

Adición de los 2 Mandamientos que faltaban en el eje horizontal (¿y sus pecados y virtudes?)
Podríamos añadir 2 Mandamientos (y pecados) mas en las horizontales periféricas

No codiciar bienes ajenos

No robar

HEINLESS

INTELLIGENT

Amar a Dios
Honrar a padres
PEREZA

Sanctificar fiestas

No banalizar a Dios
No pelear
SOBERBIA

ENVIDIA
STUPID
BANDIT

AVARICIA
LUCRAT

*Adición de los meme- ejes de C. Cipolla en las horizontales periféricas
(o mejor como agrupadores de los cuatro subcuadrados?)*

No codiciar bienes ajenos

No robar

No ser ingrato

No abusar poder

Amar a Dios
Honrar a padres
PEREZA

Sanctificar fiestas

No banalizar a Dios
SOBERBIA

ENVIDIA
ESTUPIDEZ

TRAICIÓN

AVARICIA
LULURIA

Meme - ejes de Claudio Cipolla convertidos a 2 nuevos Mandamientos y Pecados

CARIDAD

INTELIGENCIA

CONFIANZA

GENEROSIDAD

No codiciar bienes ajenos
No ser congreño

No abusar de lo que es propio

No robar

HONESTIDAD

CASTIDAD

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios
PEREZA Honrar a padres

ALEGRÍA Cantar y bailar
celebrar fiestas

SABIDURIA
SOBERBIA

No banalizar a Dios
cuando se ora

HUMILDAD

ENVIDIA
ESTUPIDEZ TRAICIÓN

AVARICIA
VIRGÍN

Adición de 5 nuevas VIRTUDES(para los nuevos Pecados)

CARIDAD

INTELIGENCIA

CONFIANZA

GENEROSIDAD

No codiciar bienes ajenos
No ser congreño

No abusar de lo que es de Dios

???

???

No robar

HONESTIDAD

CASTIDAD

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios
PEREZA Honrar a padres

ALEGRIA Celebrar fiestas
Comunicar

SABIDURIA
SOBERBIA

No banalizar a Dios
ofender a N

HUMILDAD

¿?

¿?

ENVIDIA

ESTUPIDEZ TRAICIÓN

AVARICIA

VIRGINIDAD

¿Nos conformamos con Mandamientos (de Dios) y Pecados (de Satán) para el eje vertical?
Podemos buscar dos Mandamientos y Pecados fundamentales nuevos, y o dimorfizarlos

CARIDAD

INTELIGENCIA

CONFIANZA

GENEROSIDAD

No codiciar bienes ajenos
No ser congreño

BENEVOLO

No robar

HONESTIDAD

Pertenencia

Tranquilidad

CASTIDAD

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios
Honrar a Padres y Sanctificar fiestas
PEREZA

ALEGRIA SABIDURIA

No banalizar a Dios
HUMILDAD

SOBERBIA

¿?

¿?

ENVIDIA

ESTUPIDEZ BANDIDO

AVARICIA

VIRGIN

2 valores (virtudes?) mas en triángulos (¿subcuadrados?) y ¿Mandamientos-Pecados?

Mandamientos

VIRTUDES y *mas* **de DIOS**

CARIDAD

INTELIGENCIA

CONFIANZA

GENEROSIDAD

No codiciar bienes ajenos **BENEVOLO** No robar

HONESTIDAD

Pertenencia

Tranquilidad

CASTIDAD

PACIENCIA

TEMPLANZA

DILIGENCIA Amar a Dios
PEREZA Honrar a padres

ALEGRÍA
Sanctificar fiestas

SABIDURIA
SOBERBIA

No banalizar a Dios HUMILDAD
No pelear

ESTUPIDEZ TRAICIÓN
ENVIDIA

VIOLENTA
AVARICIA

PECADOS de SATÁN

Versión callejera de los Mandamientos y Pecados populares

No

seas un “culo veo culo quiero”

Se buena gente

No manges

No te creas un pimiento
Enrollate con BUNNY SLICK
FLIPAO

Enrollate con BUNNY SLICK
No te las fiestas


No seas un de guays

PERRO

O V A C P I C
PELOTERO

O C I T A S
ANSIAS





Versión callejera de los Mandamientos y Pecados populares **extendidos**



RETO: Geometrias internas y sus **cercanias y potencialidades** para otros valores:

PAYASO

No seas un “culo veo culo quiero”

Se buena gente

No seas un fumao

No te pases con la peña

No mangles

VENDEPATRIAS

¿CUAL?

No te creas un pringao

Enróllate con tu familia y los amigos en las fiestas

No vayas de guays

PERRO

CABRÓN

AMARGAO

FLIPAO

PELOTERO

SUBNORMAL

JUDAS

ANSIAS

¿RESÚMENES?:

*Posponer lo mínimo el
querer-poder dar mas y
necesitar-recibir menos*

MAS RETOS

- Adaptación a otros campos, sustancias (!), fideismos y culturas
- Desarrollar la geometria (3d, Torus)
- Juego / App: Ordena-Extiende-Comparte (Ver: flove.org/wills)
- Debate-Formalización cristiana/católica y o académica, moral



TheOntology

LINEAR





Evolutionary

LINEAR




In__Evolutionary !




LINEAR

1



2





3

In__Evolutionary

CYCLICAL



LINEAR



CONFLUENTIAL






CYCLICAL

In__Evolutionary

Simple Examples of CONFLUENTISM in GEOMETRY

CONFLUENTIAL



LINEAR




LINK = CONFLUENCE CENTROID



CYCLE


PHILOSOPH

Y







CATEGORY

THEORY




PROCESS THEORY











DAOISM






**CONFLUENTIS
M**








**CONFLUENTIS
M**

















>







All these perspectives are ok.

PAST
↓
NOW
↓
FUTURE
Linear

PAST
↓
NOW
↑
FUTURE
Confluent

PAST
↑
NOW
↓
FUTURE
Complementary
Bifluent

PAST
↑
NOW
↑
FUTURE
Retrograde

All these perspectives are ok. **Andbut i go for the Confluent (& Bifluent) one !**

TIME

PAST



NOW



FUTURE

SPACE

MICRO



ORGANISM



MACRO

TIME&SPACE

CONJUNCTIONISM

TIME

PAST

NOW

FUTURE

SPACE

MICRO

ORGANISM

MACRO

PAST

$\approx$

FUTURE

MICRO

$\approx$

MACRO

TIME&SPACE CONFLUENTISM

MICRO

(UNIT)
PARTICLE
ATOM
MOLECULE
MACROMOLECULE
CYTOPLASM
CELL
TISSUE
ORGAN
SYSTEM

...

ORGANISM

...

COMMUNITY
BIOSPHERE
ECOSYSTEM

...

SOLAR SYSTEM
GALAXY

UNIVERSE

MACRO

Actual Matters TAXONOMY



MICRO

UNIT?

PARTICLE

ATOM

MOLECULE

MACROMOLECULE

CYTOPLASM

CELL

TISSUE

ORGAN

SYSTEM

?

ORGANISM

...

~~COMMUNITY (POPULATION)~~

~~ECOSYSTEM~~

~~BIOSPHERE~~

...

SOLAR SYSTEM

GALAXY

UNIVERSE

MACRO

Actual TAXONOMY: Main Flaws & Proposals

Confluence is more simplex than just linearity and/or process


1 is inconsistent, **needs network** (a number systems theory...)

BioSystems ~~aren't~~ ordered ~~nor~~ **(and)** extended

Organism (& 1) is void by itself, **its only (and very) meaningful definition iff as a (equivalent) Confluence of Micro and Macro**

In 1&out, Community-Society & Ecosystem & Stratosphere there are three unexplained big gaps... **that Confluentism can explain (by continuing the actual GUT..)**

Actual TAXONOMY: Main Flaw(s)



Actual TAXONOMY: Main Flaw(s)

MICRO

PLANCK SCALE

UNIT
PARTICLE

Quantum Mechanics

ATOM
MOLECULE
MACROMOLECULE
PROTOPLASMA

CELL
TISSUE
ORGAN
SYSTEM

ORGANISM

(OBSERVER needed for)

**GRAND UNIFYING
THEORY**

**Very Popular and much (!)
hipothised as Confluentist
& Very liittle Explained (?)**

...

...

...

...

...

...

SOLAR SYSTEM

GALAXY

UNIVERSE

MACRO

GREAT ATTRACTOR

Special Relativity



TAXONOMY: My Proposal for the Main Flaw

MICRO

(UNIT)
PARTICLE
ATOM
MOLECULE
MACROMOLECULE
CYTOPLASM
CELL
TISSUE
ORGAN
SYSTEM



...

ORGANISM as a varied equivaled Confluence of the Micros & Macros “seen”

...

...

...


...


SOLAR SYSTEM

GALAXY

UNIVERSE

MACRO





ORGANISM 2^n

PERSONAL

LOCAL SOCIAL

PARTICLE

...

UNIVERSE

ATOM

H(0) GALAXY

MOLECULE

H₂(1) SOLAR SYSTEM

MACROMOLECULE

C(2) EXOSPHERE

CYTOPLASM

NOBLES(3) TERMOSPHERE

CELL

16 MESOSPHERE

TISSUE

32 STRATOSPHERE

ORGAN

64 TROPOSPHERE

SYSTEM

128 ECOSYSTEM

MICRO

Actual TAXONOMY: A Big Wow (!) & Flaw (?)

(UNIT)

PARTICLE

ATOM

MOLECULE

MACROMOLECULE

CYTOPLASM

CELL

TISSUE

ORGAN

SYSTEM

...

ORGANISM

...

COMMUNITY

ECOSYSTEM

BIOSPHERE

IONOSPHERE

SOLAR SYSTEM

GALAXY

UNIVERSE

MACRO

!

?

C

O

N

T

I

N

U

U

M

...

U

N

E

X

PL

A

I

N

E

D

C

O

N

S

E

N

S

U

A

L

...

V

A

R

I

E

D

V

I

E

W

Actual TAXONOMY: Varied views of the Macro

MICRO
(UNIT)
PARTICLE
ATOM
MOLECULE
MACROMOLECULE
CYTOPLASM
CELL
TISSUE
ORGAN
SYSTEM
...
ORGANISM
...
~~COMMUNITY~~
ECOSYSTEM
BIOSPHERE
IONOSPHERE
SOLAR SYSTEM
GALAXY
UNIVERSE

MACRO

? or.. ECOSYSTEM
TROPOSPHERE
STRATOSPHERE
...

! or else....

N
O
N

C
O
N
S
E
N
S
U
A
L

MICRO

PARTICLE

ATOM

MOLECULE

MACROMOLECULE

CYTOPLASM

CELL

ORGAN

TISSUE

SYSTEM

ORGANISM as a varied equaled confluence of the micros and macros seen

ECOSYSTEM

TROPOSPHERE

STRATOSPHERE

MESOSPHERE

THERMOSPHERE



EXOSPHERE


SOLAR SYSTEM

GALAXY

UNIVERSE

& as many Simplex MACROS as (already Consensual) Micros are!





PARTICLE
ATOM
MOLECULE
MACROMOLECULE (Solid)
CYTOPLASM
CELL
ORGAN
TISSUE
SYSTEM

S
P
E
C
I
E

·
·
·


Q
U
A
N
T
U
M

D
E
C
A
N
E
T
W
O
R
K

P
E
R
S
O
N
A
L

ORGANISM as a varied equivalent confluence of the micros and macros seen

ECOSYSTEM
TROPOSPHERE
STRATOSPHERE
MESOSPHERE
THERMOSPHERE
EXOSPHERE
SOLAR SYSTEM
GALAXY
UNIVERSE



S
O
C
I
A
L


For a more explainable continuum that include geology (as originally intended by Linneo and better done by Strunz &..)



MACRO

As many Macros as already Consensual Micros are...

(Inner earth Layers as Geological Pre & paralel Speciation



MICRO


PARTICLE (**POLON**)

...
(BI)SYSTEMS

ORGANISM and all Matters as BIPOLAR CONFLUENCES

MACRO

...



SCIENCES FIELDS ranked and aligned with Matters

UNIT
PARTICLE
ATOM

MATHYLOGIC
PHYSICS
CHEMISTRY



MOLECULE
MACROMOLECULE
PROTOPLASMA
CELL
TISSUE
ORGAN
SYSTEM

ORGANISM and all Matters **and their FIELDS of knowledge** as BIPOLAR CONFLUENCES
ECOSYSTEM

...

UNIVERSE

...

UNIT

PARTICLE

ATOM

MOLECULE

MACROMOLECULE

PROTOPLASMA

CELL

TISSUE

ORGAN

SYSTEM

ORGANISM

ECOSYSTEM

...

UNIVERSE

TELEOLOGY

The ONTOLOGY

(META)MATHYLOGIC

PHYSICS

CHEMISTRY

BIOLOGY



P
S
Y
C
H
O
L
O
G
Y

S
O
C
I
O
L
O
G

METAPHYSICS

PARTICLE

ATOM

MOLECULE

MACROMOLECULE

PROTOPLASMA

CELL

TISSUE

ORGAN

SYSTEM

ORGANISM

ECOSYSTEM

...

UNIVERSE

(**BIO**)PHYSICS

(**BIO**)CHEMISTRY

MOLECULAR BIOLOGY

GENETICS

MICROBIOLOGY

BIOLOGY

METAPHYSICS


P
S
Y
C
H
O
L
O
G
Y



Matter & macros evolve when
all its inner-ancestor layers

InEvolve
(**continuumly**) along

S
O
C
I
O
L
O
G



WE RESEARCH... ..**METAPHYSICS**

• • •

METAMATHEMATICS + METALOGIC

≈

MathyLogic



PHYSICS



CHEMISTRY



...

WE RESEARCH... ..**METAPHYSICS**

• • •

METAMATHEMATICS + METALOGIC

∴

What was first?


MathyLogic

↓
PHYSICS
↓
CHEMISTRY
↓
...

↑
PHONOLOGY
SEMANTICS
MORPHOLOGY
EPISTEMOLOG
Y
↓
SOCIOLOG

(Teleo) is INVENTED
MathyLogic ?
as DISCOVERED

INVENTED
RUPTURE



CONTINUUM
DISCOVERED

EXPERIMENTAL

**I
N
V
E
N
T
E
D**

FINE

DISCOVERED

FATAL

RANDOM

3 . 1 4 1 5 9 2 7...

→ **We INVENT** (Continuos) Math going forward...

Andbut when we consider

← **We DISCOVER** Math:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...

1 > 2 (Smaller is Purer)

5 > 4 (Prime > NonPrime)

3 . 1 4 1 5 9 2 7...

→ **We INVENT** (Continuos) Math going forward...

Andbut when we consider

← **We DISCOVER** Math:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 ...

$1 > 2$ (Smaller is Purer)




$5 > 4$ (Prime > NonPrime)

Andso, in a way...


(I discovered) Pi ending in number 3

And so on... See next a related try...

LINKS within INFINITESIMALS PALINDROMED and STRANDED in SQUARES




MATHYLOGIC Popular Categories



MATHYLOGIC Popular Categories

Fundamental values



SUBJECTIVITY

YES

NO

UNCERTAINTY

YES

NO

Beware of 2 counterintuitive facts

1

Formal-Discrete Logic (absolute truth) don't Really Exist..

More accurately,

FORMALLY we are...

MORE Wrong

Less accurately,

INTUITIONALLY we are...

LESS Wrong

2

LOGIC & MATH Formalize (IN)FINITE oppositely

1 : Formal-Discrete Logic (absolute truth) don't Really Exist..

$$= \approx (x)n$$

INTUITION

Yes

FORMALITY


No (*Observer..*)

No

Global (*Godel..*)


UNCERTAINTY

A bit *contradictory...*
complex



1 : Formal-Discrete Logic (absolute truth) don't Really Exist ! :)

Nor a 100% Probability for most (fundamental-complex) things...




UNMEASSURABLE

+MEASSURABLE

UNMEASSURABLE

COUNTERINTUITIVE FACT 2: LOGICALLY SPEAKING:

More accurately,
FORMALLY we are...
MORE Wrong
Less Realistic




SUBJECTIVIT

Y
U N C E R T A I N

D Y N A M I C

Less accurately,
INTUITIONALLY we are...
LESS Wrong
More Realistic




SUBJECTIVIT

Y
C E R T A I N

S T A B L E


COUNTERINTUITIVE FACT

2:
LOGIC & MATH Formalize (IN)FINITE oppositely



MAT
H

LOGI
C



**MAT
H**

**LOGI
C**


**SIMPLE
FINITE
DISCRETE
MICRO**

PURE




**COMPLEX
INFINITE
CONTINUOUS
MACRO**

APPLIE



BOOLEAN



**FUZZ
Y**


FORMAL

INTUITIVE



MAT
H

LOGI
C




RESUMING, NORMALLY...

Any actual MATHYLOGIC can get more simple-finite-pure andor more complex-infinite-continuous..

FUNDAMENTAL

EVIDENTIAL



Intuition

Formality

+ Simple

Fundamental



+ Complex **POLES**

Evidences

+ Simple
Fundamental
FRACTAL
INTUITION

SIMPLE More
FUNDAMENTAL

=

UNMEASSURABLE

+ Complex **POLES**

HIGH
FALSIFIABILITY


Evidences


No need for
~~PROBABILITY~~

What matters more...

Functional

~~+MEASSURABLE~~





RADICAL INTUITIONISM

Intuition

Formality

+ Simple




+ Complex **POLES**

Fundamental


Evidences


Holist


Fractal



SUBJECTIVITY

Yes



CONFLUENT

No

SIMPLER
MICRO

More
SIMPLEX

More COMPLEX
MACRO



INTUITION

FORMALITY

Yes

No

UNCERTAINTY

PERSONAL

P U R E R
S I M P L E R
R A D I C A L



FRACTAL

INTUITION

HOLISM


CONFLUENTISM

All is relatable

to us & simply

The more abstract-broad-quantfundamental we want to get, the simpler departure micropoints we have to start from, the more intuition we have to bet

...Intuition	Formality	
	Intuition	Formality
...BELIEF	THEORY	PRACTICE...
...Cause	Effect	
	Cause	Effect



SUBJECTIVITY

UNCERTAINTY

MYSELF

INTUITION

Yes

Yes

Simpler Fundamentals

FORMALITY

No

No

Complexer applied MATH

**PARTICLES
ACCELERATORS**


Example:

QUANTUM PHYSICS RESEARCH

To Deeper Simpler MICROs from our more Complex BEINGs

~~Personal~~ ~~Solipsistic~~ PanBitheist DISTRIBUTED

	S	DESS	GOD	US
DESS	E	No	Yes	<i>Maybe</i>
GOD	E	No	Yes	Yes
<u>US</u>	S	No	No	Yes 
FORMALITY				
 INTUITION 				
<u>UNKNOWNABLE UNKNOWN KNOWN</u>				



WHAT WAS FIRST...

FINITE
MATH


INFINITE
LOGIC


?




?

!! : Formal-Discrete Logic (absolute truth) don't Really Exist :)
Although while everything is unique, connected upto destined...







Main PROPOSAL

NUMBERS




SYSTEMS



BIOLOGICAL

-



INMUNE
COMPLEX

INTEGUMENTARY
IMAGINARY

BIOSYSTEM
NUMBER
SYSTEM

R
E
11
P
R
O
D
U
C
T

DIGESTIVE
SURREAL

EXCRETORY
RATIONAL

CIRCULATORY
INTEGER

I
V
E

19 RESPIRATORY
ORALIZERS

SKELETAL
JOINTS


13

17 MUSCULAR
CHAINS


ENDOCRINE
GLANDS

7

5 NERVOUS
SENSES



No primes		No Primes		Sophie Germain's DS Cycles			vs		Loshu MS		
396 DS		528 DS		741 DS							
1	3	2	5	3	7	3	5	7	8	1	6
						9	2	4	3	5	7
4	9	5	11	6	13	6	8	1	4	9	2
						Minus:					
7	15	8	17	9	19	6	3	3	Rows & Columns add to 15		
10	21	11	23	12	25						
13	27	14	29	15	31						
16	33	17	35	18	37						
19	39	20	41	21	43						
22	45	23	47	24	49						
25	51	26	53	27	55						
28	57	29	59	30	61						
31	63	32	65	33	67						
34	69	35	71	36	73						
37	75	38	77	39	79						
40	81	41	83	42	85						
43	87	44	89	45	91						
46	93	47	95	48	97						
49	99	50	101	51	103						
52	105	53	107	54	109						
55	111	56	113	57	115						



3 (Triads)


2 (Polarity)

n (Continuity)

+1 (Centroid)

=p (Uniqueness)

1 / **7=0.142857 (Cycle 6)**



3 (Triads)
 2 (Polarity)
 n (Continuity)
 +1 (Centroid)
 =p (Uniqueness)



13=0.(Intermittent Cycle 12)



19=0.052631578947368421 (I.C.18)

~~**+1 (Centroid)**~~

3 (Triads)


2 (Polarity)

n (Continuity)

 **Limit 3 (Finity)**

± 1 (Confluence)

$=p$ (Unicity)



COSMOGONY

**Within Godel uncompleteness
(mandatory perceptual uncertainty)...**

HOW 1

(2&3)

& WHY 11 BioSystems

?

~~1 / 0~~

1

as

n^0

i^2

FORMAL

1

as

$1 / n$

WHOLIST

$1 / 0$

1

$1 / n$


CONFLUENTIST

p

&

Pure (1/p)
primes periods:
3

WHAT WAS FIRST...



(HOW) 1 10, 101, 110, 111

...

& Why 11...
?

JUSTIFICATIONS

111 & 11 & 1 &...

Pure
Primes Periods

3

O9

O27

(O099

3

(10)

37


101)


1 Organism
Centroidal Reproductive

11 Main


S
Y
S
T
E
M
S

111





OPERATORS & NUMBERS




$$= 0.142857$$


$$= 124875$$

$$1+6=7$$

$$3+2=5$$

...





Proposal: New Name:
STRUCTURAL NUMBERS

FURTHER PURE... (?)

REPRODUCTIVE

SYSTEMS

U
T

E
R

I
N
N
E
R

HOMEOSTASIS

NEUROINMUNE

3

11

37

101

9091

9901

333667

909091

99990001

999999000001

999999900000001


9090909090909091

1111111111111111 (19 Respiratory)


1111111111111111 (23 Circulatory)

900900900900990990990991


90909090909090909090909091



FURTHER PURER... (?)



CLOSE NETWORKS OF 1



MULTIPLE BIONUMBER SYSTEMS

LAYERS

		I	N	N	E	R	O	U	T	E	R	
		CIRCULATORY			RESPIRATORY			...			NERVOUS	
NUMBERS	...R	I										
PRIMES	...29	23				19			17...		5	
UNIQUE PERIODS	...	?				?			...			

My main focus →

WHAT WAS FIRST...

MATH	LOGIC
LOGIC	MATH
FUNCTOR	LIMIT
LINK	VERTEX
WORDS	NUMBERS
OPERATOR	NUMBER
X	N
0	1
1	111
FEMALE	MALE

n^0

FORMAL

i^2

$/ n$

WHOLIST

0





n


CONFLUENTIST


(& 2 &
3)











PRIMARITY SECONDARITY
Concentration Dissipation

FEMALE



0

n/x

1

x/n

∞




MALE

WHAT WAS FIRST...


LINK


VERTEX

?























CYCLON




1




2





3





!











$A \overset{\vee}{\underset{\uparrow}{\sim}} D$

(∞) FINITE
 RELATION
 CYCLE



1
 2

MIDDLE
 BOND
 KNOT
 ...

MASS
 $\sin$ LENGTH
 $\cos$
 UNIT



$\sqrt{2}$
 $=$
 3



Some
**LOGICAL
LAWS**

CONMUTATION

ASSOCIATION


DISTRIBUTION


**MATHEMATICAL
ENFORCEMENT**

CATEGORY
RELATE

ADD / SUBTRACT

MULTIPLY / DIVIDE
LOG-ROOT / POWER







<

<>

--

-+




+-

++


><

>>

From LAWS to OPERATORS






OPERATORS & NUMBERS



$$= 0.142857$$

$$= 124875$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1+6 &= 7 \\ 3+2 &= 5 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$



WHAT WAS FIRST...

LINK

VERTEX

WORDS

NUMBERS

OPERATOR

NUMBER

?

PRIMAL OPERATIONS

+ WHICH ONE WAS FIRST? $\wedge$


- 0

/ 0

LOG x 0 = 1


PRIMAL OPERATIONS

Powering maybe the first (of the positive ones)..




LOGICAL LAWS

MATHEMATICAL ENFORCEMENT




$$X^0 = 1 \text{ (IDENTITY-CATEGORY)}$$

enables ASSOCIATIVE ADD/SUBTRACT & else...






PRIMAL OPERATIONS

More unique



KNUTH SEQ. & MAGIC SQUARES



CHALLENGE

Explain Physics with a Graph, Chemistry with a Sequence and Systems Biology with the more simplex primes sequence

GRAPH

SEQUENCE

PRIMES



M
O
R
E

S
I
M
P
L
E

SOPHIE GERMAIN: $2p+1=$
 $3 \times 2p \pm 1 = p$

...

PHYSICS ENTANGLEMENT

Next Slides Presentation!

CHEMICAL BONDING

BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS



See More: flove.org/metaphysics